Fundamental Physics with LISA - Dark matter and PBHs Katy Clough







SEE ALSO: Nature of Black Holes (ECOs)

Editors: Katy Clough & Lisa Randall

Other contributors: Gianfranco Bertone Diego Blas Richard Brito Valerio De Luca **Pierre Fleury Gabriele Franciolini** Juan García-Bellido **David Nichols Sébastien Renaux-Petel Antonio Riotto** Mairi Sakellariadou **Sebastien Clesse**



Science & Technology Facilities Council





SEE ALSO: Nature of Black Holes (ECOs)



Particle dark matter parameters

Mass

model

Standard interactions

Self interaction

Fraction of total DM (locally/ globally)

A vast range of potential masses

Mass

Particle dark matter parameters



Wave DM e.g. axions 10⁻²³ eV - 1 eV

> Schive et al. 2014 Cosmic structure as the quantum interference of a coherent dark wave

Particle DM e.g. WIMPS 1 eV - 10¹³eV

A useful distinction is between wave-like and particle DM



Wave DM e.g. axions 10-23 eV - 1 eV

Mass

Particle dark matter parameters

Schive et al. 2014 Cosmic structure as the quantum interference of a coherent dark wave

Particle DM e.g. WIMPS 1 eV - 10¹³eV

Particle dark matter parameters

Small - could be zero

Self interaction



Clowe et. al. 2006 A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter



Small - could be zero

Particle dark matter parameters



ADMX experiment C. Boutan/Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Standard model interactions Roszkowski et al, 2018 Rept.Prog.Phys. 81 (2018) no.6, 066201





Schwabe et al, 2016 Simulations of solitonic core mergers in ultralight axion dark matter cosmologies

Constraints rely heavily on fraction of DM composed by the candidate, and its distribution (uniform/clumpy)

Particle dark matter parameters

Fraction of total DM (locally/ globally)

significant GW imprint in LISA observations?

2. Can we measure that imprint independently of other factors?

3. Can we use LISA measurements to learn more about the nature of DM?

1. In what situations is DM likely to have a

Good news: DM (almost certainly) is a real thing that exists in nature :-)

Good news: DM (almost certainly) is a real thing that exists in nature :-)

Bad news: average DM density is very low :-(

Barausse et al. 2014 Can environmental effects spoil precision gravitational-wave astrophysics?

(Answer: No)

What do you mean "low"? $\rho \sim 1 \ {\rm GeV/cm^3} \ {\rm or} \ 1 \ {\rm M}_{\odot}/{\rm pc}^3$

What do you mean "low"?







1. In what situations is DM likely to have a significant GW imprint in LISA observations?



1. In what situations is DM likely to have a significant GW imprint in LISA observations?

1. What DM density enhancement is required to have an observable impact on LISA signals? Do such enhancements arise naturally?



2.0 1.5 1.0[™]L)^{0T}Gol 0.5

Dietrich et. al. 2019 Cooling binary neutron star remnants via nucleon-nucleon-axion bremsstrahlung

Superradiance

Review by Brito et. al. (updated 2020) Superradiance: New Frontiers in Black Hole Physics



Image credit: Helfer / Clough

Exotic compact objects e.g. boson stars **SEE ALSO: Nature of Black Holes**

Interactions e.g. bremsstrahlung, or attractive self interactions

> **Dark matter** overdensity scenarios

Bamber et. al. 2021 Growth of accretion driven scalar hair around Kerr black holes

Kavanagh et. al. 2020, Coogan et. al. 2022 Measuring the dark matter environments of black hole binaries with gravitational waves



Dark matter minispikes (adiabatic growth, accretion)



FIG. 5. Snapshots of the time evolution of the energy density during the head-on collision of two PSs with $\omega/\mu_V = 0.8925$. Time is given in code units.

Bustillo et. al. 2021 GW190521 as a merger of Proca stars: a potential new vector boson of 8.7 \times 10–13 eV





Example 1: Superradiance with light bosons



Image credit: Helfer / Clough

East et.al. 2017

- impact of DM density on background metric - change of geodesic trajectories (neglect dynamical friction, accretion)

- In principle detectable in EMRIs with long inspiral times (10^{5} orbits) in band Hannuksela et. al. 2019 Probing the existence of ultralight bosons with a single gravitational-wave measurement

- Note also other potential observables from decay of the cloud, and resulting distribution of BH spins

Superradiant boson densities (best case) $\rho/R_s^{-2} \sim 10^{-5}$

Superradiant Instability and Backreaction of Massive Vector Fields around Kerr Black Holes

- Dephasing of GW signal due to:



Example 2: DM minispikes from particle DM



Kavanagh et. al. 2020, Coogan et. al. 2022 Detecting dark matter around black holes with gravitational waves: Effects of dark-matter dynamics on the gravitational waveform

- metric)
- -
- -Becker et.al. 2021

DM overdensity described by power law $\rho \sim \rho_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)$

Dephasing of GW signal due to dynamical friction (neglect accretion, and impact of DM density on background

Phase space evolution of particles is important

Impact on eccentricity of orbits during inspiral

Circularization vs. Eccentrification in Intermediate Mass Ratio Inspirals inside Dark Matter Spikes

2. Can we measure that imprint independently of other factors?

2. Can we measure that imprint *independently* of other factors?

2. Is there a degeneracy between dark matter and other binary system parameters, and if so can it be broken?



Having additional matter around will change the different parts of the waveform in a distinctive way

 (R_S) ration pal L O O





Varma et. al. 2021 The binary black hole explorer: on-the-fly visualizations of precessing binary black holes

Many intrinsic parameters to fit, plus observer effects

"the distance to the source; the time of merger; five angles specifying the position of the source on the sky, the plane of the orbit, and the orbital phase at some given time; and the masses and spin angular momenta of the two bodies – fifteen parameters in all, assuming that the eccentricity of the orbit is negligible"

Cutler et. al. 1994 Gravitational waves from merging compact binaries: How accurately

can one extract the binary's parameters from the inspiral waveform?













Pratten et. al. 2021 Assessing gravitational-wave binary black hole candidates with Bayesian odds

Even with a reduced set of the binary parameters, this is challenging

SEE ALSO: Astrophysics and Waveform systematics



Will DM parameters be degenerate with others?



Maselli et. al. 2022 Detecting fundamental fields with LISA observations of gravitational waves from extreme mass-ratio inspirals

Scalar charge additionally constrained to be non zero



Will require accurate modelling of evolution, including that of the DM itself



What is the "right" DM profile on smaller scales around BHs?



Movie credit: Jamie Bamber, Oxford

3. Can we use LISA measurements to learn more about the *nature* of DM?

3. Can we use LISA measurements to learn more about the *nature* of DM?

3. Is the data sufficient to distinguish between different dark matter candidates (their mass, spin etc), and other effects (e.g. astrophysical accretion or modified gravity?)

3. Is the data sufficient to distinguish between different dark matter candidates (their mass, spin etc)?



Traykova et. al. 2021 Dynamical friction from scalar dark matter in the relativistic regime



Kavanagh et. al. 2020, Coogan et. al. 2022 Detecting dark matter around black holes with gravitational waves: Effects of dark-matter dynamics on the gravitational waveform



3. Is the data sufficient to distinguish between different dark matter candidates (their mass, spin etc)?



Hannuksela et. al. 2019 Probing the existence of ultralight bosons with a single gravitational-wave measurement



3. Is the data sufficient to distinguish between DM and other effects (e.g. accretion discs or modified gravity?)



Event Horizon Telescope

We will need to understand alternatives better too

SEE ALSO: Tests of **General Relativity**

SEE ALSO: Astrophysics and Waveform systematics

3. Is the data sufficient to distinguish between different dark matter candidates



Edwards et. al. 2020

A Unique Multi-Messenger Signal of QCD Axion Dark Matter

(their mass, spin etc), and other effects (e.g. astrophysical accretion or modified gravity?)

> Potential for the effect of standard model interactions to break degeneracies

3. Is the data sufficient to distinguish between different dark matter candidates



Caputo et. al.

(their mass, spin etc), and other effects (e.g. astrophysical accretion or modified gravity?)

Potential for the effect of self interactions to break degeneracies

Electromagnetic signatures of dark photon superradiance

Burning questions

H. Burning Questions

- devote continual effort to disentangle these as much as possible.
- and that are sufficiently accurate for data analysis purposes.
- boson clouds could be imprinted in the late stages of BBH mergers.

• The effects of dark matter on GW signals may often be degenerate with 'environmental' astrophysical effects (cf. Sect. VII). It will be absolutely crucial for the success of LISA to

• LISA has the potential to detect or constrain the presence of both ultralight and heavier dark matter fields in regions of parameter space that are complementary to those covered by ground-based GW observations. However, the waveform modelling for IMRIs and EMRIs embedded in DM halos is but in its infancy. More work is needed to build waveform models that incorporate the effects of DM fields in mergers, covering a significant parameter space,

• Beyond this, it will be important to study BBH dynamics with ultralight boson clouds with full NR simulations in order to understand how the presence, and the dynamics, of such