





#### GENERATION UPGRADE

# Measuring the neutrino mass hierarchy with atmospheric neutrinos in IceCube(-Gen2)

## Beyond the Standard Model with Neutrinos and Nuclear Physics

Solvay Workshop November 30, 2017

Darren R Grant



#### The atmospheric neutrino signal



#### Oscillations with Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Neutrinos are available over a wide range of energies and baselines
  - Comparison of observations from different baselines and energies is crucial for controlling systematics
  - Essentially, a generalization of the up-down ratio approach



#### Oscillations with Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Neutrinos are available over a wide range of energies and baselines
  - Comparison of observations from different baselines and energies is crucial for controlling systematics
  - Essentially, a generalization of the up-down ratio approach
- Atmospheric neutrinos oscillating over one Earth diameter have a v<sub>µ</sub> survival minimum at ~25 GeV and sensitivity to the mass ordering below ~10 GeV (as well as potentially to CP-violation near ~500 MeV)



#### Using atmospheric neutrinos to measure the mass ordering

Up to 20% differences in  $v_{\mu}$  survival probabilities for various energies and baselines, depending on the neutrino mass ordering (NMO)





#### The IceCube Neutrino Observatory

IceCube Array 86 total strings, including 8 DeepCore strings



#### IceCube detection principle

#### **CC Muon Neutrino**



#### Neutral Current / Electron Neutrino



#### CC Tau Neutrino



#### track (data)

factor of  $\approx$  2 energy resolution < 1° angular resolution at high energies

#### cascade (data)

- $\approx \pm 15\%$  deposited energy resolution ≈ 10° angular resolution (at
- energies ≥ 100 TeV)

"double-bang" (≋10PeV) and other signatures (simulation)

(not observed yet: τ decay length is 50 m/PeV)

- 78 Strings
  - 125m string spacing
  - 17m DOM spacing



- 78 Strings
  - 125m string spacing
  - 17m DOM spacing





- 78 Strings
  - 125m string spacing
  - 17m DOM spacing







#### IceCube-DeepCore

- 78 Strings
  - 125m string spacing
  - 17m DOM spacing
- Add 8 strings
  - 75m string spacing
  - 7m DOM spacing



#### IceCube-DeepCore top view

<b> </b> 10 MeV	<b> </b> 100 MeV	<b>I</b> I GeV	l 10 GeV	<b> </b> 100 GeV	<b>I</b> I TeV	<b> </b> 10 TeV		EeV
				DeepCore			IceCube	

#### IceCube-DeepCore

Astropart. Phys. Vol.35 Issue 10 (615-624)

- More densely instrumented region at the bottom centre of IceCube
  - Eight special strings plus 12 nearest standard strings (72 m inter-string horizontal spacing (six with 42 m spacing)
  - ~35% higher Q.E. PMTs
  - ~5x higher effective photocathode density
- Deployed mainly in the clearest ice,  ${\color{black}\bullet}$ below 2100 m

scatter	<u>'ing</u>	
ment of the second s	IceCube extra veto ca	ap AMANDA
	320 m	Deep Core
		250 m

#### DeepCore Effective Area and Volume

DeepCore provides an ~25MTon volume with a lower energy threshold that results in O(10<sup>5</sup>) neutrino triggers per year **300 m** 



#### DeepCore Atmospheric Muon Veto

- The cosmic ray muon background (around 10<sup>6</sup> times the atmospheric neutrino rate)
- Overburden of 2.1 km water-equivalent is substantial, but not as large as at deep underground labs
- However, top and outer layers of lceCube provide an active veto shield for DeepCore
  - ~40 horizontal layers of modules above; 3 rings of strings on all sides
  - Effective µ-free depth much greater



## IceCube-DeepCore

- 78 Strings
  - 125m string spacing
  - 17m DOM spacing
- Add 8 strings
  - 75m string spacing
  - 7m DOM spacing

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \sin^2(2\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{\Delta m^2 L}{4E}\right)$$

![](_page_15_Figure_9.jpeg)

Atmospheric neutrinos

#### DeepCore muon neutrino disappearance

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Х

#### DeepCore muon neutrino disappearance

\*arXiv:1707.07081

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

Best Fit:  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.31_{-0.13}^{+0.11} \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \& \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.51_{-0.09}^{+0.07}$ 41,599 total events from 2012-2014

#### The IceCube Neutrino Observatory

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### The IceCube Neutrino Observatory - Generation 2

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Beyond IceCube-DeepCore

- 78 Strings
  - 125m string spacing
  - 17m DOM spacing
- Add 8 strings
  - 75m string spacing
  - 7m DOM spacing

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

<b> </b> 10 MeV	<b> </b> 100 MeV	<b>I</b> I GeV	l0 GeV	<b> </b> 100 GeV	<b>I</b> I TeV	<b> </b>   0 TeV		I EeV
				DeepCore			IceCube	

## IceCube-DeepCore-PINGU

- 78 Strings
  - 125m string spacing
  - 17m DOM spacing
- Add 8 strings

100 MeV

- 75m string spacing
- 7m DOM spacing
- Add 26 strings (baseline target)

I GeV

10 GeV

**PINGU** 

- ~24m string spacing
- 1.5m DOM spacing

IceCube-DeepCore-PINGU top view

![](_page_21_Figure_11.jpeg)

IceCube

• advantages include:

10 MeV

• Use of deployment techniques similar to IceCube would significantly reduce project risk

DeepCore

• Could be quick, dependent on funding (2 years of procurement and fabrication; 2-3 years of deployment) ×

![](_page_21_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

## PINGU and the NMO

- Cannot distinguish v from v directly – rely instead on differences in fluxes, cross sections (and kinematics)
- Differences visible in expected atm. muon (v +  $\overline{v}$ ) rate even with 1 year's data
  - Note: detector resolutions not included here

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

## PINGU and the NMO

- Cannot distinguish v from v directly – rely instead on differences in fluxes, cross sections (and kinematics)
- Differences visible in expected atm. muon (v +  $\overline{v}$ ) rate even with 1 year's data
  - Note: detector resolutions not included here

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

## PINGU and the NMO

arXiv:1401.2046-2

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Distinctive (and quite different) mass ordering-dependent signatures are visible in both the track and cascade channels
  - Quantity shown is an illustration of statistical significance per bin (as per Akhmedov et al. arXiv:1205.7071)
  - Full MC for detector efficiency, reconstruction, and particle ID included
  - These spaces become the input templates for a full likelihood analysis

#### PINGU and the NMO - the bottom line

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The confidence determination depends on the true value of θ<sub>23</sub> and the ordering
- In most scenarios PINGU achieves a sensitivity of 3 sigma to the neutrino mass ordering in approximately 4 years of data taking (DeepCore and partial deployment years helps reduce this)

#### Summary and Outlook

- IceCube is currently world's largest neutrino detector; has provided a breakthrough discovery of very high energy neutrinos from astrophysical sources
- DeepCore has demonstrated that the technology of neutrino telescopes can be applied to precision measurements of atmospheric neutrino properties and world-leading dark matter searches
- A robust measurement of the mass hierarchy is within reach using atmospheric neutrinos (INO/ORCA/PINGU)
- IceCube is now preparing for the next generation:
  - IceCube Upgrade (proposals under review):
    - first 7 strings deployed in PINGU volume.
    - includes deployment of prototype optical modules and advanced calibration sources, providing significant enhancements at both low and high energies
  - Gen2 (future facility) includes full high-energy (10x IceCube), PINGU, radio, surface arrays

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Backup Slides

#### **PINGU** event reconstruction

- Matter effects alter oscillation probabilities for neutrinos or antineutrinos traversing the Earth
  - Maximum effects seen for specific energies and baselines (= zenith angles) due to the Earth's density profile
  - Neutrino oscillation probabilities affected if hierarchy is normal, antineutrinos if inverted
  - Rates of all flavors are affected

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### PINGU event reconstruction

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **PINGU** Particle ID

0

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0<sup>L</sup>

10

20

True v energy (GeV)

Fraction of events identified as  $\mu$ 

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Distinct signatures observable in both track ( $v_{\mu}$  CC) and cascade ( $v_e$  and  $v_\tau CC$ ,  $v_x NC$ ) channels

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)