Measuring the neutrino hierarchy with the JUNO reactor neutrinos experiment

Alberto Garfagnini on behalf of the JUNO Collaboration

Università di Padova and INFN, Italy

Beyond the Standard Model with Neutrinos and Nuclear Physics, Solvay Workshop, Nov. 29 - Dec 1, Bruxelles, Belgium







Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Neutrino Mixing



Alberto Garfagnini (UniPD/INFN-PD)

Current Neutrino Oscillation Knowledge

I. Esteban et al, arXiV 1611.01514

NuFIT 3.1 (2017)

	Normal Ordering (best fit)		Inverted Ordering ($\Delta \chi^2 = 1.50$)		Any Ordering
	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range	3σ range
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.307\substack{+0.013\\-0.012}$	$0.272 \rightarrow 0.347$	$0.307\substack{+0.013\\-0.012}$	$0.272 \rightarrow 0.347$	$0.272 \rightarrow 0.347$
$\theta_{12}/^{\circ}$	$33.63^{+0.78}_{-0.75}$	$31.44 \rightarrow 36.07$	$33.63^{+0.78}_{-0.75}$	$31.44 \rightarrow 36.07$	$31.44 \rightarrow 36.07$
$\sin^2\theta_{23}$	$0.565^{+0.025}_{-0.120}$	$0.401 \rightarrow 0.628$	$0.572^{+0.021}_{-0.028}$	$0.419 \rightarrow 0.628$	$0.401 \rightarrow 0.628$
$\theta_{23}/^{\circ}$	$48.7^{+1.4}_{-6.9}$	$39.3 \rightarrow 52.4$	$49.1^{+1.2}_{-1.6}$	$40.3 \rightarrow 52.4$	$39.3 \rightarrow 52.4$
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02195\substack{+0.00075\\-0.00074}$	$0.01971 \to 0.02434$	$0.02212\substack{+0.00074\\-0.00073}$	$0.01990 \to 0.02437$	$0.01971 \to 0.02434$
$\theta_{13}/^{\circ}$	$8.52^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	$8.07 \rightarrow 8.98$	$8.55_{-0.14}^{+0.14}$	$8.11 \rightarrow 8.98$	$8.07 \rightarrow 8.98$
$\delta_{ m CP}/^{\circ}$	228^{+51}_{-33}	$128 \to 390$	281^{+30}_{-33}	$182 \rightarrow 367$	$128 \rightarrow 390$
$\frac{\Delta m^2_{21}}{10^{-5}~{\rm eV}^2}$	$7.40^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$6.80 \rightarrow 8.02$	$7.40^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$6.80 \rightarrow 8.02$	$6.80 \rightarrow 8.02$
$\frac{\Delta m^2_{3\ell}}{10^{-3}~{\rm eV}^2}$	$+2.515^{+0.035}_{-0.035}$	$+2.408 \rightarrow +2.621$	$-2.483^{+0.034}_{-0.035}$	$-2.589 \rightarrow -2.379$	$ \begin{bmatrix} +2.408 \to +2.621 \\ -2.580 \to -2.389 \end{bmatrix} $

Three-flavor oscillation parameters from our fit to global data as of November 2017. The numbers in the 1st (2nd) column are obtained assuming NO (IO), i.e., relative to the respective local minimum, whereas in the 3rd column we minimize also with respect to the ordering. Note that $\Delta m_{3\prime}^2 = \Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$ for NO and $\Delta m_{3\prime}^2 = \Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$ for IO

Open questions in neutrino physics

- ◆ What is the correct mass hierarchy :
- ✓ Normal Hierarchy 💻 versus Inverted Hierarchy 📃
- Is there a CP violation in the neutrino sector ? $(e^{-i\delta})$
- ➡ Is there new physics beyond the three neutrino model ?

$$|U_{e1}|^2 + |U_{e2}|^2 + |U_{e3}|^2 = 1$$
 (PMNS Unitarity) ?

$$\Delta m_{13}^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2 + \Delta m_{32}^2 = 0$$
?

Can we use neutrinos as messengers to understand our Universe ?

- ✓ look inside the core of a collapsing Supernova
- ✓ look at the earth's composition (Mantle & Core)

The JUNO approach: detect reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$





Survival Probability

$$\begin{split} P\left(\overline{\nu}_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}\right) &= 1 \quad - \quad \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \left(\cos^{2} \theta_{12} sin^{2} \Delta_{31} + sin^{2} \theta_{12} sin^{2} \Delta_{32}\right) & \text{FAST } \Delta m_{atm}^{2} \\ &- \quad sin^{2} 2\theta_{12} \cdot \cos^{4} \theta_{13} \sin^{2} \Delta_{12} & \text{SLOW } \Delta m_{sol}^{2} \end{split}$$



JUNO Mass Hierarchy Sensitivity



Many Experimental Caveats

Detection Systematics

- Energy Resolution
- Energy Linearity

Background-related uncertainties Spatial distribution of reactor cores

we'll deal with them we'll deal with slides

Mass Hierarchy Sensitivity

100k signal events (20kt x 36GW x 6 years) Δx^2 : Fitting wrong model - Fitting correct one

- ----- Unconstrained (JUNO only) $\Delta \chi^2 \sim 10$
 - Using external $\Delta m_{\mu\mu}$ (1% precision) from long baseline exps: $\Delta \chi^2 \sim 14$

JUNO Oscillation Parameters Measurement

✓ Measuring the $\overline{\nu}_e$ spectrum allows to perform precise measurements of four oscillation parameters: θ_{13} , θ_{12} , Δm_{21}^2 and $|\Delta m_{ee}^2|$

 \clubsuit sin^2 $2\theta_{12},~\Delta m^2_{21}$ and $|\Delta m^2_{ee}|$ can be measured with a precision <1%

Survival Probability

$$P(\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_e) = 1 \quad - \quad \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \cdot \left(\cos^2 \theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{31} + \sin^2 \theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{32}\right)$$
$$- \quad \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \cdot \cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{12}$$



Alberto Garfagnini (UniPD/INFN-PD)

Oscillation Parameters : Mass Splittings

✓ Measuring the $\overline{\nu}_e$ spectrum allows to perform precise measurements of four oscillation parameters:



Oscillation Parameters : Mixing Angles

✓ Measuring the $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum allows to perform precise measurements of four oscillation parameters:

 $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$, $\sin^2 2\theta_{12}$, Δm_{21}^2 and $|\Delta m_{ee}^2|$



Oscillation Parameters : precision and systematics

Measuring the vector allows to perform precise measurements of four oscillation parameters:

 $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$, $\sin^2 2\theta_{12}$, Δm_{21}^2 and $|\Delta m_{ee}^2|$

Survival Probability

$$P(\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_e) = 1 \quad - \quad \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \cdot (\cos^2 \theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{31} + \sin^2 \theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{32})}{- \quad \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_{12} \cdot \cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{12}}$$



JUNO Extended Physics Programme

UNDERSTANDING OUR UNIVERSE: SUPERNOVA BURST NEUTRINOS

UNDERSTANDING OUR PLANET: GEONEUTRINOS

IOP Publishing

Journal of Physics G Nuclear and Particle Physics

2016 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43 030401 Neutrino physics with JUNO Featlered An et al

UNDERSTANDING THE SUN: SOLAR NEUTRINOS

JUNO SuperNova Neutrino Physics



- ✤ Huge amount of energy (3×10⁵³erg) emitted in neutrinos (~0.2M_☉) over long time range
- ✤ 3 phases equally important ► 3 experiments teaching us about astro- and particle-physics

Process	Туре	Events $\langle E_{v} \rangle {=} 14 MeV$
$\overline{v}_e{+}p \rightarrow e^{+}{+}n$	CC	5.0×10 ³
$v+p \rightarrow v+p$	NC	1.2×10 ³
$v + e \rightarrow v + e$	ES	3.6×10 ²
$v+{}^{12}C \rightarrow v+{}^{12}C^{\star}$	NC	3.2×10 ²
$v_e {+}^{12}C \rightarrow e^{-} {+}^{12}N$	CC	0.9×10 ²
$\overline{v}_e {}^{+12}C \rightarrow e^{+} {}^{+12}B$	CC	1.1×10 ²
NB Other $\langle E_{y} \rangle$ values need to be considered to get complete picture.		

Expected events in JUNO for a typical SN distance of 10kpc

We try to be able to handle Betelgeuse (d~0.2kpc) resulting in ~10MHz trigger rate

J.Phys. G43 (2016) no.3, 030401

Earth's surface heat flow 46±3TW. What fraction due to **primordial vs radioactive** sources? Understanding of:

- * composition of the Earth : abundance of radioactive elements
- * chemical layering in the mantle and the nature of mantle convection
- * energy needed to drive plate tectonics
- * understand how the geodynamo, which powers the magnetosphere, works

Detect electron antineutrinos from the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th decay chains



JUNO Solar Neutrino Physics

Fusion reactions in solar core: powerful source of electron neutrinos O(I MeV)

JUNO: neutrinos from ⁷Be and ⁸B chains

Investigate **MSW effect**: Transition between vacuum and matter dominated regimes

Constrain **Solar Metallicity** Problem: Neutrinos as proxy for Sun composition





The JUNO Collaboration



Armenia Yerevan Physics Institute Belgium Université libre de Bruxelles Brazil PUC Brazil UEL Chile PCUC Chile UTESM China BISEE China Beijing Normal U. China CAGS China ChongQing University China CIAE China DGUT China ECUST China Guangxi U. China Harbin Institute of Technology China IHEP China Jilin U China Jinan U. China Naniing U.

China Nankai U. China NCEPU China Pekin U. China Shandong U. China Shanghai JT U. China Sichuan U China IMP-CAS China SYSU China Tsinghua U. China UCAS China USTC China U. of South China China Wu Yi U. China Wuhan U. China Xi'an JT U. China Xiamen University China NUDT Czech R. Charles U. Prague

Finland University of Oulu France APC Paris CFNBG Bordeaux France CPPM Marseille France France IPHC Strasbourg France Subatech Nantes 7FA FZ Julich Germany BWTH Aachen U. Germany Germany TUM Germany U. Hamburg IKP FZ Jülich Germany Germany U. Mainz Germany U. Tuebingen INFN Catania Italy INFN di Frascati Italy Italy INFN-Ferrara

Italy INFN-Milano INFN-Milano Bicocca Italy INFN-Padova Italy INFN-Perugia Italy INFN-Roma 3 Italy Pakistan PINSTECH (PAEC) INR Moscow Russia **Russia** JINR **Bussia** MSU Slovakia FMPICU Taiwan National Chiao-Tung U. National Taiwan U. Taiwan Taiwan National United U Thailand SUT Thailand NARIT Thailand PPRI CU USA UMD1 USA UMD2

JUNO



The JUNO Experiment



JUNO Detector Design



JUNO Detector Challenges





JUNO Central Detector Structure

- Acrylic sphere and Stainless Steel truss
- ✓ all immersed in water
- ✓ Acrylic thickness : 120 mm
- ✓ Acrylic panels : 256 pieces (3 × 8 m², 12 cm thick)
- ✓ Total weight : \sim 600 t of acrylic and \sim 600 t of steel
- ✓ bidding completed, acrylic in production, construction will start in 2019
- \checkmark Acrylic sheet mass production under preparation





MMA storage tank



water pool for acrylic polimerization



spherical shape, termal bending

JUNO Liquid Scintillator

- high light yield to reduce σ_E from statistical fluctuations: $\sim 10^4$ scintillation $\gamma s/MeV$
- pure organic solvent (LAB)
- high fluor (PPO) concentration
- high transparency: > 20 m
- add wavelength shifter (bisMSB)

Requirements

- long attenuation length > 20 m 430 nm
- no doping, Al₂O₃ column purification
- high light-yield:
- no addition of paraffin
- large fluor concentration
- good radiopurity:
- $\bullet~<10-15~g/g$ in U/Th
- $\bullet~<10-16~g/g$ in K
- vacuum distillation



JUNO Liquid Scintillator Pilot Plant

Goals

✓ Purify 20 t LAB to test the overall design and operation at Daya Bay. Replace the target LS in one detector.

 $\checkmark\,$ Quantify the subsystems effectiveness:

→ optical : > 20 m at 430 nm → radio-purity : 10^{-15} g/g (U, Th) \checkmark Allow to select the best sub-system

 Al₂O₃ column, distillation, gas stripping, water extraction



JUNO PMT system: the detector's eyes

✓ Two independent systems: large PMTs (20") and small PMTs (3")



✓ 20000 large PMTs:

- measure energy via charge integration, increase photon statistics
- 18000 for central detector, optical coverage >75%

✓ 25000 small PMTs:

- measure energy via photon counting, reduce/control possible large PMTs non linearities and the systematics non-stochastic effect
- 25000 for central detector, 2.5% additional optical coverage

JUNO Large PMTs

✓ 20" PMT JUNO bidding completed :

- \sim 15k MCP-PMT (NNVT)
- \sim 5k Dynode-PMT (Hamamatsu)



the key parameters

Characteristics	unit	MCP-PMT (NNVT)	R12860 (Hamamatsu)
Detection Efficiency (QE*CE)	%	27%	27%
P/V of SPE		3.5, > 2.8	3, > 2.5
TTS on the top point	ns	~12, < 15	2.7, < 3.5
Rise time/ Fall time	ns	R~2, F~12	R~5,F~9
Anode Dark Count	Hz	20K, < 30K	10K, < 50K
After Pulse Rate	%	1, <2	10, < 15
		238U:50	238U:400
Radioactivity of glass	ppb	232Th:50 40K·20	232Th:400 40K: 40

Alberto Garfagnini (UniPD/INFN-PD)



• Measurement from the vendors



JUNO PMTs testing program

- an extensive test program has been developed to certify each produced PMT
- so far about 3000 NNVT PMTs and 2000 Hamamatsu PMTs have been qualified
- the test facility is located close to the experimental site and will host all the PMT instrumentation tasks (i.e. potting and assembly)



the test and potting station Alberto Garfagnini (UniPD/INFN-PD)

Batch test of 36 PMTs within a container

scanning test of PMT within a dark room

JUNO PMTs instrumentation

- Waterproof potting: Designed as multiple waterproof layers: putty tape + glue+ moisture prevention, to reach failure rate < 0.5% for the first 6 years;
- Implosion protection: acrylic + stainless steel protection covers; 50 prototypes and many implosion tests done.; thickness optimized;
- Single PMT assembly: parts integration;
- Installation: designed to achieve 75% coverage; Installation in parallel to acrylic sphere construction;



Alberto Garfagnini (UniPD/INFN-PD)



Waterproof potting

Naked PMT for triggering the shock wave



Implosion test



PMT module design and installation

JUNO small PMTs

• Bidding is finished and contract has been signed with HZC company

JUNO custom design: XP72B22

- Upgrade of XP72B20
- Dedicated R&D of better timing with JUNO input

Start production from the beginning of 2018;

• XP72B22 performance requirements

Parameters	HZC's response
QE×CE @ 420 nm	24% (>22%)
TTS(FWHM) of SPE	<5ns
P/V ratio of SPE	3 (>2)
SPE signal width (sigma)	35% (<45%)
Dark rate @ ¼ PE	1kHz (<1.8kHz)
QE uniformity	<30% in Φ60mm
Pre/after pulse ratio	<5%, < 15%
Nonlinearity	<10%@1-100PE
Radioactivity	238U: <400ppb, 232Th: <400ppb, 40K: <200ppb





- Test results of XP72B22 samples
 QE: 23.5% 26%; P/V: 3;
 CPD resolution (20%)
 - SPE resolution: <30%; TTS: 2-5ns

No.	Resolution	P-V Ratio	Gain@1350V	TTS(ns)
70195	0.231	4.889	2.5e+07	2.2
70197	0.276	6.818	2.3e+07	2.3
70215	0.245	2.832	0.4e+07	2.0
70218	0.251	5.239	1.0e+07	2.7
70219	0.279	4.592	0.6e+07	3.2
70222	0.269	6.657	1.5e+07	2.6
70226	0.239	7.800	2.3e+07	5.0
70236	0.249	6.440	2.2e+07	4.4

JUNO Calibration System

Goals

- ✓ Overall energy resolution : $3\%/\sqrt{E}$
- ✓ Energy scale, non linearities : < 1%

Four Complementary Systems

- ✓ 1D : Automatic Calibration Unit ACU for central axis scan
- ✓ 2D : Cable Loop System CLS for vertical planes scan and Guide Tube Calibration System GTCS for CD outer surface
- ✓ 3D : Remotely Operated under-liquid-scintillator Vehicles ROV for whole CD scan

Method	System
Rope Length Calculation	CLS, ACU and GTCS
Ultrasonic receiver	ROV, CLS
CCD(Independent)	ROV, CLS

Alberto Garfagnini (UniPD/INFN-PD)

Radioactive Sources

- ✓ photons : ⁴⁰K, ⁵⁴Mn, ⁶⁰Co, ¹³⁷Cs
- ✓ positrons : ²²Na, ⁶⁸Ge
- ✓ neutrons : ²⁴¹Am-Be, ²⁴¹Am-¹³C ²⁴¹Pu-¹³C, ²⁵²Cf



JUNO VETO System

Top Tracker

- ✓ implemented reusing the OPERAs Target Tracker
 - 62 walls to be rearranged in three layers spaced by 1 m $\,$
 - will covering half of the top area

Water Cherenkov

- ✓ 2000 20" PMTs and 35 ktons ultrapure water
- \checkmark detector efficiency expected to be >95%
 - Fast neutron background 0.1/day
 - radon control less than 0.2 Bq/m3
 - Earth magnetic field (EMF) compensation coil: residual EMF will be less than 10%





JUNO Schedule



- The JUNO as a Next Generation underground liquid scintillator detectors has a vast potential physics reach : Mass Hierarchy determination, and beyond
- Following this line, JUNO has been designed to mark significant breakthroughs on the ultimate quests of neutrino properties
- the JUNO Collaboration is rapidly progressing toward finalizing the design and start the detector construction: all important design decision have been taken and the prototyping phase makes important step forwards for all the subsystems
- the year 2020 will open a new horizion on neutrino physics measurements and JUNO will help to to shed light on some of the most intriguing and hidden questions of neutrino physics