# Infinite-dimensional symmetries of the gauge theories in the light front

### Olivera Mišković

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Collaborators: Oriana Labrin (PUCV), Hernán González (UA) [arXiv: 2304.03211]



**Progress on gravitational physics** 45 years of Belgian-Chilean collaboration

ULB, Brussels, April 11-14, 2023

1 / 34

We analyse symmetries in electromagnetism and Yang-Mills theory using Hamiltonian formalism in the null foliation

2 / 34

- 1 Infrared structure of gauge theories
- 2 Hamiltonian analysis of electromagnetism in the null foliation
- 4 Extension to Yang-Mills theory
- **5** Discussion

3 / 34

イロト イポト イヨト イ

IR region of theories with massless particles in asymptotically flat spaces



4 / 34

Image: A matrix and a matrix

- ∢ ⊒ ▶

### Motivation

• Hamiltonian treatment of asymptotic symmetries

[Bondi, van der Burg, Metzner 1962; Sachs 1962]

- **BMS symmetry** infinite-dimensional asymptotic symmetry at the null boundary of 4D asymptotically flat spacetimes
- Celestial holography
- Duality between massless 4D asymptotically flat spacetimes and 2D CFT on the celestial sphere
- Asymptotic symmetries in electromagnetism and Yang-Mills theory
- 2D realization of soft symmetries in electromagnetism

[He, Mitra, Porfyriadis, Strominger 2014; Nande, Pate, Strominger 2018]

- Extension to Yang-Mills theory

[Strominger 2014; He, Mitra, Strominger, 2016]

5 / 34

### Infrared structure of gauge theories

Vacuum degeneracy in gauge theories ( $\omega \rightarrow 0$ )

 $\Leftrightarrow$  Enhancement of symmetries at the boundary of flat spacetime  $(r \to \infty)$ 

- Goldstone modes, dominant low-energy excitations
- Vacuum state  $e^{S[\eta]} |A\rangle = |A + \eta\rangle \iff \delta |A\rangle = \eta$



Spontaneous symmetry breaking, J. Lykken, M. Spiropulu, The future of the Higgs boson, Physics Today 66, 12, 28 (2013)

### $\Rightarrow$ Interest in boundary dynamics of massless particles $\Rightarrow$

## Infrared structure of gauge theories

### What to expect at the null infinity?

- Realisation of a canonical analysis in a null foliation [Dirac 1949]
- The induced metric on a null hypersurface is degenerate
- Double-null foliation = 2 + 2 formalism in GR, complicated symplectic structure, difficult to quantize [d'Inverno, Smallwood 1980]
- Ashtekar variables in GR, simpler symplectic structure, but still difficult to quantize [Ashtekar 1986, 1987]

### Peculiarities of the light-front dynamics in the Minkowski space

- Light-cone coordinates  $x^{\pm} = \frac{x^0 \pm x^3}{\sqrt{2}}$ ; Time coordinate  $u = x^-$
- Increased number of isometries of the surface u = const. compared to  $t = x^0 = \text{const.}$  (one more because of degenerated direction)
- **Dispersion equation** for a massive scalar

$$p^2 = m^2 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Energy } E = p^- = \frac{(p^\perp)^2 + m^2}{2p^+}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Consequences:  $p^+ > 0$  and trivial physical vacuum,  $p_{\rm vac}^{\mu} = 0$ 

## Infrared structure of gauge theories

- Nontrivial effects on the light front are contained in the zero modes [Yamawaki 1998]
- Boundary conditions in the light front formalism
- Light-cone actions are first order in velocities [Steinhardt 1980] Kinetic term  $T = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 = \dot{\phi} \partial_+ \phi - (\nabla_\perp \phi)^2$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  The canonical momentum  $\pi = \partial_+ \phi$  is not invertible
- ★ New constraint  $\chi\equiv\pi-\partial_+\phipprox 0$
- ★ It does not commute with itself,  $\{\chi(x), \chi(x')\}_{u=u'} = -2\partial_+\delta(x-x')$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  Reduction of the phase space: elimination  $\chi = 0$
  - Global zero mode in massless theories [Alexandrov, Speziale 2015]
- A massless particle worldline is parallel to the light front hypersurface, not determined by the initial data
- It has vanishing energy,  $E = p^- \rightarrow 0$ ,  $p^\perp = 0$  (soft particles)

#### **Global zero mode**



Global zero mode, First order gravity on the light front, S. Alexandrov, S. Speziale, Phys.Rev.D 91 (2015) 6, 064043

◆□> ◆□> ◆注> ◆注>

E

#### Null foliated reference frame

• Minkowski metric in D = 4 in the spherical coordinates  $(t, r, y^A)$ 

 $M_4: ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$ 

 $\mathbb{S}^2$ :  $\mathrm{d}\Omega^2 = \gamma_{AB}(y) \,\mathrm{d}y^A \mathrm{d}y^B$ 

• Time coordinate  $u = t - \epsilon r$ ,  $-1 \le \epsilon \le 1$ 

 $\epsilon = 1$  retarded time

- $\epsilon = 0$  proper time of a massive particle
- $\epsilon = -1$  advanced time

Stereographic projection, T. Apostol, Mathematical Analysis (1973)



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

• Coordinates on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ : stereographic projection  $(\theta, \varphi) \to y^A = (z, \bar{z})$ 

$$z={
m e}^{{
m i}arphi}\cotrac{ heta}{2}$$
 ,  $ar z={
m e}^{-{
m i}arphi}\cotrac{ heta}{2}$ 

3

• Minkowski metric  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}$  in the coordinates  $x^{\mu} = (u, r, y^{A})$ :

 $ds^{2} = -du^{2} - 2\epsilon \, dudr + (1 - \epsilon^{2}) \, dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2}$ 

Jacobian  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}} = r^2 \sqrt{\gamma}$ 

• S<sup>2</sup> metric in the complex coordinates

$$\gamma_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_{z\bar{z}} \\ \gamma_{z\bar{z}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \gamma_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{2}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}$$



• Electromagnetic action in the background  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}$ 

$$I[A] = -rac{1}{4e^2}\int \mathrm{d}^4 x\,\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}}\,F^{\mu
u}F_{\mu
u} \qquad (F_{\mu
u}=\partial_\mu A_
u - \partial_
u A_\mu)$$

• Canonical momenta  $\pi^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{e^2} \sqrt{\mathfrak{g}} F^{\mu\mu}$ 

In components:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{u} &= 0 & \dot{A}_{u} \times \\ \pi^{r} &= \frac{r^{2}}{e^{2}} \sqrt{\gamma} F_{ur} & \dot{A}_{r} & \sqrt{} \\ \pi^{A} &= -\frac{1}{e^{2}} \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{AB} \left[ (\epsilon^{2} - 1) F_{uB} - \epsilon F_{rB} \right] \dot{A}_{B} ? \end{aligned}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

- The limit  $\epsilon^2 
  ightarrow 1$  is discontinuous
- The action in the light-cone  $(\epsilon^2=1)$  has an additional constraint

In the Bondi reference frame ( $\epsilon^2 = 1$ )

• Primary constraints

$$\pi^{u} pprox 0$$
,  $\chi^{A} \equiv \epsilon \pi^{A} - rac{1}{e^{2}} \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{AB} F_{rB} pprox 0$ 

(日) (同) (三) (

• Total Hamiltonian [Dirac 1964]

$$\mathcal{H}_{T} = \frac{e^{2}(\pi^{r})^{2}}{2r^{2}\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{e^{2}\tilde{\pi}_{A}\pi^{A}}{2\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{4e^{2}r^{2}}\tilde{F}^{AB}F_{AB} - A_{u}\partial_{i}\pi^{i} + \lambda_{u}\pi^{u} + \lambda_{A}\chi^{A}$$

- Hamiltonian multipliers  $A_u$ ,  $\lambda_u$ ,  $\lambda_A$  incorporate constraints
- Matching conditions
- Two Hamiltonians on the future ( $\epsilon = +1$ ) and past ( $\epsilon = +1$ ) light cones satisfy the antipodal matching conditions near the boundary  $i^0$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}|_{\mathcal{J}^{-}_{+}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}|_{\mathcal{J}^{+}_{-}}$$

### Auxiliary symplectic matrix

$$\left\{\chi^{A}(x),\chi^{B}(x')\right\} = \Omega^{AB}(x,x') \equiv -\frac{2\epsilon}{e^{2}}\sqrt{\gamma}\gamma^{AB}\partial_{r}\delta^{(3)}$$

- If  $\Omega^{AB}$  is not invertible:  $\chi^A$  are **first class** (generate symmetries)
- If  $\Omega^{AB}$  is invertible:  $\chi^A$  are second class (eliminate redundant fields)

### One possibility

- $\Omega^{AB}~$  is invertible because  $\gamma^{AB}$  is invertible  $\Rightarrow \chi^A$  are second class
- Reduced phase space  $\chi^{\mathcal{A}}=0$  [Goldberg 1991, Majumdar 2022]

#### Second possibility

- $\Omega^{AB}$  is invertible, but its inverse is not unique
- $\Omega^{AB}$  is infinite-dimensional matrix and it has zero modes

$$\int \mathrm{d}^3 x' \,\Omega^{AB} \, V'_B = -\frac{2\epsilon}{e^2} \,\sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{AB} \partial_r \, V_B = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_B = V_B(y)$$

### Symplectic matrix

$$\left\{\chi^{A}(x),\chi^{B}(x')\right\} = \Omega^{AB}(x,x') \equiv -\frac{2\epsilon}{e^{2}}\sqrt{\gamma}\gamma^{AB}\partial_{r}\delta^{(3)}$$

- If  $\Omega^{AB}$  invertible:  $\chi^A$  are **first class** (generate symmetries)
- If  $\Omega^{AB}$  not invertible:  $\chi^A$  are second class (eliminate redundant fields)

### One possibility

- $\Omega^{AB}$  is invertible because  $\gamma^{AB}$  is invertible  $\Rightarrow \chi^A$  are second class
- Reduced phase space  $\chi^{\mathcal{A}}=0$  [Goldberg 1991, Majumdar 2022]

### Other possibility

- $\Omega^{AB}$  is invertible, but its inverse is not unique
- $\Omega^{AB}$  is infinite-dimensional matrix and it has zero modes

 $\Rightarrow \chi^{A}_{(0)}(y)$  is first class constraint (*r*-independent part of the constraint)

15 / 34

#### **Consistency conditions**

• Conservation of constraints during their evolution

 $\dot{\pi}^{u} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \chi = \partial_{i}\pi^{i} \approx 0 \quad (\text{differential Gauss law})$  $\dot{\chi}^{A} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \text{differential equation in the multiplier}$ 

• The multiplier  $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$  is partially determined

$$\partial_r \lambda_A = -\frac{\epsilon e^2}{2\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_r \tilde{\pi}_A - \frac{1}{2r^2} \nabla^B F_{AB} + \frac{\epsilon e^2}{2r^2} \partial_B \left(\frac{\pi^r}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)$$
$$\lambda_A = \bar{\lambda}_A + \Lambda_A(y)$$

- $\bar{\lambda}_A$  determined part of  $\lambda_A$
- A free function  $\Lambda_A(y)$  is due to the zero modes of  $\Omega^{AB}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 回 ト < 回 ト < 三 ト - 三 三</p>

#### Summary of the constraints

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Primary constraints:} & \pi^u \,, & \chi^A = \epsilon \pi^A - \frac{1}{e^2} \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{AB} F_{rB} \\ \mbox{Secondary constraint:} & \chi = \partial_i \pi^i \,. \end{array}$ 

#### Nature of the constraints

- $\pi^u$  first class,  $A_u$  is a multiplier in the Hamiltonian
- $\chi$  first class, differential Gauss law,  $\pi^i = \sqrt{\gamma} E^i$
- $\chi^{A}_{(0)}$  first class, r-independent part of the constraint
- $\chi^{\mathcal{A}}_{(n)}$   $(n\geq 1)$  second class, coefficients of the Taylor expansion in 1/r
- We have to expand all the fields asymptotically in the vicinity of the boundary r = const → ∞.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

#### Standard asymptotic conditions of the fields [Strominger 2014]

$egin{array}{cc} {A}_u &= \mathcal{O}(rac{1}{r})  , \end{array}$	$A_r = \mathcal{O}(rac{1}{r^2})$ ,	$A_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{O}(r^0)$ ,
$\pi^u_{}=0$ ,	$\pi^{r}_{}=\mathcal{O}\left(r^{0} ight)$ ,	$\pi^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{O}(rac{1}{r^2})$

• Boundary fields:  $A_{(0)A}$ ,  $\pi_{(0)}^r$ 

(日) (同) (三) (

#### Summary

1 <sup>st</sup> class constraints	Parameters	Generators	Charges
$\pi^{u}, \ \chi = \partial_{i}\pi^{i} \ \chi^{\mathcal{A}}_{(0)}$	$ heta_u,  heta \\ \eta_A(y)$	$G[ heta] S[\eta]$	$egin{aligned} & Q[ heta] \ & Q_s[\eta] \end{aligned}$

• Smeared generators

 $\begin{array}{ll} G[\theta] &= \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \left( \theta \, \partial_i \pi^i + \theta_u \pi^u \right) & \text{standard } \mathrm{U}(1) \text{ symmetry} \\ S[\eta] &= \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \eta_A \chi^A & \text{asymptotic symmetry} \end{array}$ 

• Only first class constraints contribute to  $S[\eta]$ 

 $S[\eta] = \int d^3x \eta_{(0)A} \chi^A_{(0)} + 0$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Transformation law of the fields

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\theta} A_{\mu} &= -\partial_{\mu} \theta , \qquad \delta_{\eta} A_{\mu} &= \epsilon \eta_{A} \delta_{\mu}^{A} \\ \delta_{\theta} \pi^{\mu} &= 0 , \qquad \qquad \delta_{\eta} \pi^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{e^{2}} \delta_{r}^{\mu} \sqrt{\gamma} \nabla_{A} \eta^{A} \end{split}$$

•  $G[\theta]$  generates standard gauge transformations,  $\delta A_{\mu} = -\partial_{\mu}\theta$ because  $\theta_{\mu} = -\dot{\theta}$  [Castellani 1974]

 $\star \eta_A$  changes the boundary fields only

 $\delta_\eta A_{(0)A} = \epsilon \, \eta_A$  ,  $\delta_\eta A_{(n)A} = 0$  ,  $n \geq 1$  (similarly for  $\pi^r$ )

- Improper transformations: θ<sub>(0)</sub>, η<sup>A</sup>
   [Benguria, Cordero, Teitelboim 1977]
- They act on the boundary fields  $A_{(0)A}$  and  $\pi_{(0)}^r$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Improved generators and charges

Improved generators

 $\begin{array}{ll} G_Q[\theta] &= G[\theta] + Q[\theta] & (Q[\theta] = \text{ surface term}) \\ S_Q[\eta] &= S[\eta] + Q_s[\eta] & (Q_s[\theta] = \text{ surface term}) \end{array}$ 

- Differentiability
- Boundary terms are chosen so that  $\delta G_Q[\theta]$ ,  $\delta S_Q[\eta]$  are well-defined
- Charges

 $Q[\theta] = -\oint d^2 y \, \theta \, \pi^r$  $Q_s[\eta] = \frac{1}{e^2} \oint d^2 y \, \sqrt{\gamma} \, \eta^A A_A$ 

- Infinite number of asymptotic global charges (Laurent coefficients).

<□> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 0 < 0

### Charge algebra

- Reduced phase space:  $G_Q[\theta] = Q[\theta], \ S_Q[\eta] = Q_s[\eta]$
- Abelian charge algebra

 $\{Q[\theta_1], Q[\theta_2]\} = 0$   $\{Q_s[\eta_1], Q_s[\eta_2]\} = 0$  $\{Q[\theta], Q_s[\eta]\} = C[\theta, \eta]$ 

- Central charge  $C[\theta, \eta] = \frac{1}{e^2} \oint d^2 y \sqrt{\gamma} \eta^A \partial_A \theta \neq 0$
- Holographic conjugate pairs on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  [Donnay,Puhm, Strominger 2019]

 $\{Q[ heta], Q_s[\eta]\} = C[ heta, \eta] \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \{q, p\} = 1$ 

 $Q[\theta]$  – conformally soft photon mode

 $Q_s[\eta]$  – Goldstone current

イロト 不得下 不良下 不良下 二日二

### Mode expansion of the charge algebra

• Laurent series

$$\psi(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\psi_{nm}}{z^{n+h}\bar{z}^{m+\bar{h}}}$$

- The powers  $(h, \bar{h})$  are related to the spin of the tensor  $\psi$
- Scalars  $\pi^r:(0,0)$
- Vectors  $A_z: (1,0), A_{\bar{z}}: (0,1)$

Parameters	
$\theta_{nm}$	
$\bar{\eta}_{nm}$	
$\eta_{nm}$	
	Parameters $\theta_{nm}$ $\bar{\eta}_{nm}$ $\eta_{nm}$

(PUCV)

23 / 34

• Algebra (non vanishing brackets only)

 $\{G_{nm}, S_{kl}\} = \kappa n \,\delta_{n+k,0} \delta_{m+l,0}$  $\{G_{nm}, \overline{S}_{kl}\} = \kappa m \,\delta_{n+k,0} \delta_{m+l,0}$ 

- Level of the algebra:  $\kappa = \frac{4\pi^2}{e^2}$
- Change of the basis:  $(G_{nm}, S_{nm}, \bar{S}_{nm}) \rightarrow (R_{nm}, J_{nm}, \bar{J}_{nm})$
- Generalization of the Kac-Moody algebra

$$\{J_{nm}, J_{kl}\} = \kappa (n-m) \,\delta_{n+k,0}\delta_{m+l,0}$$
  
$$\{\bar{J}_{nm}, \bar{J}_{kl}\} = -\kappa (n-m) \,\delta_{n+k,0}\delta_{m+l,0}$$
  
$$\{R_{nm}, J_{kl}\} = \kappa n \,\delta_{n+k,0}\delta_{m+l,0}$$
  
$$\{R_{nm}, \bar{J}_{kl}\} = \kappa m \,\delta_{n+k,0}\delta_{m+l,0}$$
  
$$\{R_{nm}, R_{kl}\} = \kappa (n+m) \,\delta_{n+k,0}\delta_{m+l,0}$$

### Abelian Kac-Moody subalgebras

• We obtain six Abelian KM algebras  $\{j_n, j_m\} = \kappa n \, \delta_{n+m,0}$ 

Currents j <sub>n</sub>	Levels
J <sub>n0</sub> , J <sub>0n</sub>	κ, -κ
<i>J<sub>n0</sub>, J<sub>0n</sub></i>	$-\kappa,\kappa$
R <sub>n0</sub> , R <sub>0n</sub>	κ, κ

- Non vanishing mixed brackets:  $\{R_{n0}, J_{m0}\}, \{R_{0n}, \overline{J}_{0m}\} \neq 0$
- Each KM algebra is naturally generated by a current that is a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic function.
- +  $\{J_{00}, \bar{J}_{00}, R_{00}\}$  span the global Abelian algebra  $\mathrm{U}(1)^2$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Beyond $U(1)\mbox{--}$ conformal symmetry

- Conformal plane a realization of conformal symmetry described by Virasoro algebra
- Virasoro algebra obtained from KM algebra using the Sugawara construction [Sugawara 1967]
- Four classical Virasoro generators

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_k j_k j_{n-k}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Four classical Virasoro algebras  $\{L_n, L_m\} = (n-m) L_{n+m}$
- We can have all six Virasoro generators/subalgebras, but the full algebra becomes nonlinear.
- Quantization will introduce central extensions.

### Comment

- We can also construct more inequivalent Virasoro algebras
- Example: Inspired by the Poincaré charge Q[i<sub>ξ</sub>A<sub>(0)</sub>] ~ ∮ d<sup>2</sup>y π<sup>r</sup><sub>(0)</sub>A<sub>(0)A</sub>ξ<sup>A</sup>, where ξ are the Killing vectors of the background Minkowski metric g<sub>µν</sub>
- Virasoro generators  $(K_n, \bar{K}_n)$ :

 $K_{n} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{k} G_{k0} S_{n-k,0} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_{k} (J_{k0} + \bar{J}_{k0}) (R_{n-k,0} - J_{n-k,0})$  $\{K_{n}, K_{m}\} = (n-m) K_{n+m}, \qquad \{\bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{m}\} = (n-m) \bar{K}_{n+m}$ 

- The generators  $(K_n, \bar{K}_n)$  are independent from  $(L_n, \bar{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_n, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_n)$
- There is a richer symmetry structure than a usual CFT

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Yang-Mills theory

 $I[A] = -rac{1}{4g^2}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \sqrt{\mathfrak{g}}\,F^{\mu
u}_aF^a_{\mu
u}$ 

- Constraints  $(\pi^u_a, \chi_a, \chi^A_a)$  non-Abelian generalization
- Constraint algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \chi_{a}, \chi_{b}^{\prime} \right\} &= f_{ab}^{\ c} \, \chi_{c} \, \delta^{(3)} \\ \left\{ \chi_{a}, \chi_{b}^{\prime A} \right\} &= f_{ab}^{\ c} \, \chi_{c}^{A} \, \delta^{(3)} \\ \left\{ \chi_{a}^{A}, \chi_{b}^{\prime B} \right\} &= \Omega_{ab}^{AB}(x, x^{\prime}) \end{aligned}$$

• Non-Abelian symplectic matrix

$$\Omega^{AB}_{ab}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = -\frac{2\epsilon}{g^2} \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{AB} \left( g_{ab} \partial_r + f_{abc} A_r^c \right) \delta^{(3)}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Extension to Yang-Mills theory

### • Zero mode

- Solution of  $\partial_r V_A = -[A_r, V_A]$ , with the bdry. condition  $V_A|_{r \to \infty} = V_{(0)A}(y)$   $\Rightarrow V_A(x) = UV_{(0)A}(y)U^{-1}$ ,  $U = e^{\int_r^{\infty} dr A_r}$ 
  - Charges

 $Q[\theta] = -\oint \mathrm{d}^2 y \, heta^a \pi^r_a$ ,  $Q_s[\eta] = rac{1}{g^2} \oint \mathrm{d}^2 y \, \sqrt{\gamma} \, \eta^a_A A^A_a$ 

• Symmetry transformations

 $\delta_{\theta,\eta}A^a_u = \theta^a_u, \qquad \delta_{\theta,\eta}A^a_r = -D_r\theta^a, \qquad \delta_{\theta,\eta}A^a_A = -D_A\theta^a + \epsilon\,\eta^a_A$ 

• Non-Abelian charge algebra

$$\{Q[\theta_1], Q[\theta_2]\} = Q[[\theta_1, \theta_2]] \rightarrow Q \text{ is non-Abelian}$$

$$\{Q[\theta], Q_s[\eta]\} = Q_s[[\theta, \eta]] + \frac{1}{g^2} \oint d^2 y \sqrt{\gamma} \eta^A_a \partial_A \theta^a$$

$$\{Q_s[\eta_1], Q_s[\eta_2]\} = 0 \rightarrow Q_s \text{ is Abelian}$$

• Mode algebra

$$\begin{cases} G_{nm}^{a}, G_{kl}^{b} \\ G_{nm}^{a}, S_{kl}^{b} \end{cases} = f_{c}^{ab} G_{n+k,m+l}^{c}$$
$$\begin{cases} G_{nm}^{a}, S_{kl}^{b} \\ G_{nm}^{a}, \bar{S}_{kl}^{b} \end{cases} = f_{c}^{ab} \bar{S}_{n+k,m+l}^{c} + \kappa n g^{ab} \delta_{n+k,0} \delta_{m+l,0}$$
$$\begin{cases} G_{nm}^{a}, \bar{S}_{kl}^{b} \\ G_{nm}^{a}, \bar{S}_{kl}^{b} \end{cases} = f_{c}^{ab} \bar{S}_{n+k,m+l}^{c} + \kappa n g^{ab} \delta_{n+k,0} \delta_{m+l,0}$$

• Level  $\kappa = \frac{4\pi^2}{g^2}$ 

- One can apply the Sugawara method again:  $\{K_n, K_m\} = (n m)K_{n+m}$
- Symmetries at the asymptotic null boundary, described by KM algebras and Virasoro algebras, are general features of 4D gauge theories
- Nonlinear algebra of Virasoro generators generically appears

Image: A match a ma

### Discussion

### **Degrees of freedom count**

- Dirac formula  $d.o.f. = N N_{1^{st} class} \frac{1}{2} N_{2^{nd} class}$
- Electromagnetism

$$\begin{array}{ll} A_{\mu} & N = 4 \\ \pi^{u}, \ \chi = \partial_{i}\pi^{i} & N_{1^{st}class} = 2 \\ \chi_{A} & N_{2^{nd}class} = 2 \end{array}$$

- d.o.f. =  $4 2 \frac{1}{2}2 = 1$  WRONG!! d.o.f. = 2
- The Dirac formula is applicable only when the multipliers are either arbitrary (1<sup>st</sup> class constraints) or fully determined (2<sup>nd</sup> class constraints). [Henneaux, Teitelboim 1992]
- It fails when the multipliers satisfy a differential equation.
- In the null foliation:  $\partial_r \lambda^A = f^A \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda^A = \Lambda^A(y) + \bar{\lambda}^A$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

#### Asymptotic conditions

- Invariance of boundary conditions under Poincaré transformatons is not straighforward
- Hamiltonian treatment at spatial infinity needs additional **parity conditions** to ensure invariance under boosts
- Electromagnetism [Henneaux, Troessaert 2018]
- Yang-Mills [Tanzi, Giulini 2020]
- Null-slices foliated standard b.c. are invariant under Poincaré group
- Electromagnetism [Bunster, Gomberoff, Pérez 2018]
- Yang-Mills [He, Mitra 2009]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Poincaré transfromations

- We found several Kac-Moody algebras, but not all of them are related to the global Poincaré symmetry in 4D spacetime.
- Canonical generator of 4D Poincaré transformations

 $P[\xi] = \int d^3x T^u_{\ \mu} \xi^{\mu}$ 

• Differentiability of this generator is ensured by adding the boundary term  $Q[\theta] + Q_s[\eta]$  with the parameters  $\theta = i_{\xi}A_{(0)}$  and  $\eta_A = \xi^u \Lambda_A$ .

### Symplectic structure

• Symplectic form  $\omega = \int d^3 x \, \delta A_{\mu} \wedge \delta \pi^{\mu}$  is invariant under gauge and Poincaré transformations, for instance  $i_{X_{\mu}}\omega = -\delta G_Q[\theta]$  and  $i_{X_{\mu}}\omega = -\delta S_Q[\eta]$ 

$$X_{ heta} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \left( heta^u rac{\delta}{\delta A_u} - \partial_i heta \, rac{\delta}{\delta A_i} 
ight), \quad X_{\eta} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \left( rac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{e^2} \, 
abla_A \eta^A \, rac{\delta}{\delta \pi^r} + \epsilon \eta_A \, rac{\delta}{\delta A_A} 
ight)$$

3

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### Acknowledgments

## THANK YOU!



### Acknowledgments

### Anillo Grant ANID/ACT210100

Holography and its applications to high energy physics, quantum gravity and condensed matter systems

### FONDECYT Grants N° 1190533 and N° 1231779

Black holes and asymptotic symmetries Holographic aspects of quantum field theories in flat and AdS spaces

34 / 34

(PUCV)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト